

Letters

Update

Plant neurobiology: no brain, no gain?

Amedeo Alpi¹, Nikolaus Amrhein², Adam Bertl³, Michael R. Blatt⁴, Eduardo Blumwald⁵, Felice Cervone⁶, Jack Dainty⁷, Maria Ida De Michelis⁸, Emanuel Epstein⁹, Arthur W. Galston¹⁰, Mary Helen M. Goldsmith¹⁰, Chris Hawes¹¹, Rüdiger Hell¹², Alistair Hetherington¹³, Herman Hofte¹⁴, Gerd Juergens¹⁵, Chris J. Leaver¹⁶, Anna Moroni¹⁷, Angus Murphy¹⁸, Karl Oparka¹⁹, Pierdomenico Perata²⁰, Hartmut Quader²¹, Thomas Rausch¹², Christophe Ritzenthaler²², Alberto Rivetta²³, David G. Robinson²⁴, Dale Sanders²⁵, Ben Scheres²⁶, Karin Schumacher²⁷, Hervé Sentenac²⁸, Clifford L. Slayman²³, Carlo Soave²⁹, Chris Somerville³⁰, Lincoln Taiz³¹, Gerhard Thiel³² and Richard Wagner³³

- ¹ Dipartimento di Biologia Delle Piante Agrarie, Università Degli Studi di Pisa, Via Mariscoglio 34, 56124 Pisa, Italy
- ² Institut für Pflanzenwissenschaften, ETHZ Universitätsstrasse 2, Zürich CH-8092, Switzerland
- ³Botany 1, University of Karlsruhe, Kaiserstrasse 12, Karlsruhe 76128, Germany
- ⁴ Laboratory of Plant Physiology and Biophysics, IBLS Bower Building, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, UK
- ⁵ Department of Plant Sciences Mail Stop 5, University of California, Davis, 1 Shields Ave, Davis, CA 95616, USA
- ⁶ Dipartimento di Biologia Vegetale, Università di Roma "La Sapienza", Piazzale Aldo Moro, 00185 Roma, Italy

⁷ University of Toronto, Department of Botany, 25 Willcocks Street, Toronto, ON M5S 3B2, Canada

⁸ Dipartimento di Biologia "L. Gorini", Università di Milano, Via G. de Celoria 26, 20133 Milano, Italy

⁹ Department of Land, Air and Water Resources, Soils and Biogeochemistry, University of California, Davis, One Shields Ave., Davis, CA 95616-8627, USA

¹⁰ Department of Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology, Yale University, PO Box 208103, New Haven, CT 06520-8103, USA ¹¹ School of Life Sciences, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, OX3 0BP, UK

- ¹² Heidelberg Institute for Plant Sciences, University of Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 360, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany ¹³School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, Woodland Road, Bristol, BS8 1UG, UK
- ¹⁴ Laboratoire de Biologie Cellulaire, Institut Jean-Pierre Bourgin, INRA, Route de Saint-Cyr, 78026 Versailles Cedex, France

¹⁵Entwicklungsgenetik, ZMBP – Center of Plant Molecular Biology, Universität Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 3, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany

¹⁶ Department of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford, South Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3RB, UK

- ¹⁷ Dipartimento di Biologia, Università Degli Studi di Milano, Via Celoria 26, 20133 Milano, Italy
- ¹⁸ Department of Horticulture, Purdue University, 625 Agriculture Mall Drive, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA
- ¹⁹Institute of Molecular Plant Sciences, University of Edinburgh, King's Buildings, Mayfield Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3JH, UK
- ²⁰ Plant and Crop Physiology Laboratory, Sant'Anna School of Advanced Studies, Piazza Martiri Della Libertà 33, 56127 Pisa, Italy ²¹ Universität Hamburg, Biozentrum Klein Flottbek, Ohnhorst-Str. 18, D-22609 Hamburg, Germany
- ²² CNRS Institut de Biologie Moleculaire des Plantes, 12, Rue du Général Zimmer, 67084 Strasbourg, Cedex, France
- ²³ Department of Cellular and Molecular Physiology, Yale School of Medicine, Yale University, 333 Cedar Street, New Haven, CT, USA

²⁴ Heidelberger Institut für Pflanzenwissenschaften, Abteilung Zellbiologie, Im Neuenheimer Feld 230, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany ²⁵ Department of Biology, Area 6, University of York, PO Box 373, York, YO10 5YW, UK

- ²⁶ Department of Molecular Genetics, Utrecht University, Padualaan 8, 3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands
- ²⁷ ZMBP-Pflanzenphysiologie, Universität Tübingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 1, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany
- ²⁸ Biochemie et Physiologie Végétales, Ecole National Supérieure Agronomique, INRA, 34060 Montpellier Cedex, France
- ²⁹ Dipartimento di Biologia, Sezione di Fisiologia e Biochimica Delle Piante, Università di Milano, Via Celoria 26, 20133 Milano, Italy ³⁰ Carnegie Institution, 260 Panama Street, Stanford, CA 94305-4101, USA

³¹ Department of Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology, Sinsheimer Laboratories, University of California Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, CA 95060, USA

³²Institute of Botany, TU-Darmstadt, Schnittspahnstr. 3-5, 64287 Darmstadt, Germany

³³ Fachbereich Biologie/Chemie, Universität Osnabrück, Barbarastrasse 11, D-49069 Osnabrück, Germany

The past three years have witnessed the birth and propagation of a provocative idea in the plant sciences. Its proponents have suggested that higher plants have nerves, synapses, the equivalent of a brain localized somewhere in the roots, and an intelligence. The idea has attracted a number of adherents, to the extent that meetings have now been held in different host countries to address the topic, and an international society devoted to 'plant neurobiology' has been founded. We are concerned with the rationale behind this concept. We maintain that plant neurobiology does not add to our

Corresponding author: Robinson, D.G. (david.robinson@urz.uni-heidelberg.de). Available online 23 March 2007.

www.sciencedirect.com 1360-1385/\$ - see front matter © 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2007.03.002

136

understanding of plant physiology, plant cell biology or signaling.

We begin by stating simply that there is no evidence for structures such as neurons, synapses or a brain in plants. The fact that the term 'neuron' is derived from a Greek word describing a 'vegetable fiber' is not a compelling argument to reclaim this term for plant biology. Let us consider the erroneous arguments that have been put forward to support the concept of plant 'neurons'. By this logic, cells that contribute to auxin transport are equated to chains of neurons, and it is argued that auxin transport occurs via a concerted vesicle-based trafficking mechanism of 'neurotransmitter-like cell-cell transport' [1,2]. There are two immediate difficulties with this reasoning. (i) Neurotransmitters are not transported from cell to cell over long distances. (ii) The evidence that auxin is sequestered within exocytic vesicles is weak [3]. This notion is difficult to reconcile with the acknowledged distribution and function of the PIN and AUX families of auxin transporters, which locate to different polar domains of the plasma membrane [4] and cycle to and from endosomal compartments to the plasma membrane under the control of auxin [5]. Together with the P-glycoprotein subfamily of ABC auxin transport proteins [6], which appear to function coordinately with PIN efflux carrier proteins [7], these transport activities are sufficient to account for the known rates of polar auxin transport, and do not sit comfortably with the idea of vesicle-mediated traffic of auxin, even over sub-cellular distances.

Another fundamental stumbling block regarding the concept of plant neurobiology is the common occurrence of plasmodesmata in plants. Their existence poses a problem for signaling from an electrophysiological point of view - extensive electrical coupling would preclude the need for any cell-to-cell transport of a 'neurotransmitterlike' compound – leading Eric Brenner et al. [2] to argue that 'these cytoplasmic connections have a poorly described role in electrical coupling between adjacent polarized plant cells'. In fact, huge numbers of plasmodesmata occur between cells that contribute to polar auxin transport. but their existence has been neglected within the plant hormone research field. Given the existence of plasmodesmata, there is no *a priori* reason why plant hormones should not be transported symplastically through the cytosol. Indeed, the presence of influx and efflux transporters for auxin at the plasma membrane suggests that auxin is present in the cytosol. So either auxin is effectively excluded at plasmodesmata, or it does not enter the cytosol until it reaches cells of the extension zone where it is taken up and then released to exert its effects. Clearly, there are still many unknowns surrounding auxin transport, and the role (if any) of plasmodesmata in this process remains as enigmatic as it was almost 15 years ago [8]. It could be argued that auxin is taken up in vesicles via endocytosis and moves by vesicular traffic to the opposing plasma membrane where it is released by exocytosis, and that this process is continually repeated along the axis of transport. However, this model should not be confused with events in nerves and at the synapse.

So, are we better informed scientifically about these unknowns, or better guided towards their resolution, by the plant neurobiology concept? Plant cells do share features in common with all biological cells, including neurons. To name just a few: plant cells show action potentials, their membranes harbor voltage-gated ion channels, and there is evidence of neurotransmitter-like substances. Equally, in a broader sense, signal transduction and transmission over distance is a property of plants and animals. Although at the molecular level the same general principles apply and some important parallels can be drawn between the two major organismal groups, this does not imply a priori that comparable structures for signal propagation exist at the cellular, tissue and organ levels. A careful analysis of our current knowledge of plant and animal physiology, cell biology and signaling provides no evidence of such structures.

New concepts and fields of research develop from the synthesis of creative thinking and cautious scientific analysis. True success is measured by the ability to foster new experimental approaches that are founded on the solid grounding of previous studies. What long-term scientific benefits will the plant science research community gain from the concept of 'plant neurobiology'? We suggest these will be limited until plant neurobiology is no longer founded on superficial analogies and questionable extrapolations. We recognize the importance of a vigorous and healthy dialog and accept that, as a catch-phrase, 'plant neurobiology' has served a purpose as an initial forum for discussions on the mechanisms involved in plant signaling. We now urge the proponents of plant neurobiology to reevaluate critically the concept and to develop an intellectually rigorous foundation for it.

References

- 1 Baluška, F. et al. (2005) Plant synapses: actin-based domains for cell-tocell communication. Trends Plant Sci. 10, 106–111
- 2 Brenner, E.D. et al. (2006) Plant neurobiology: an integrated view of plant signaling. Trends Plant Sci. 11, 413-419
- 3 Schlicht, M. *et al.* (2006) Auxin immunolocalization implicates a vesicular neurotransmitter-like mode of polar auxin transport in root apices. *Plant Signal Behav.* 1, 122–133
- 4 Kleine-Vehn, J. *et al.* (2006) Subcellular trafficking of the *Arabidopsis* auxin influx carrier AUX1 uses a novel pathway distinct from PIN1. *Plant Cell* 18, 3171–3181
- 5 Paciorek, T. et al. (2005) Auxin inhibits endocytosis and promotes its own efflux from cells. Nature 435, 1251–1256
- 6 Geisler, M. and Murphy, A.S. (2005) The ABC of auxin transport: the role of P-glycoproteins in plant development. *FEBS Lett.* 580, 1094–1102
- 7 Blakeslee, J.J. *et al.* (2007) Interactions among PIN-FORMED and P-glycoprotein auxin transporters in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 19, 131–147
- 8 Oparka, K.J. (1993) Signalling via plasmodesmata the neglected pathway. Semin. Cell Biol. 4, 131–138